

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_121777</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of zinc application on growth, yield and economics of dual-purpose oats (<i>Avena sativa</i> L.) in North Eastern Region of India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community &amp; will help to evaluate the importance and benefit along with scientific suggestion for the researches to increase the biological and grain yield, this manuscript will also help to community to know regarding the benefits along with economic details of oats for the use of idea which is drafted in conclusion.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall title is good but use “under” in place of “in”</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use full form here, recommended doage of fertilizer, (first time for each abbreviation use full form)</li> <li>• add how many number of growth parameters was taken</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the place of “material &amp; Methods” replace it by “Materials &amp; Methods”</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concise the first and second paragraph of introduction, try to start with the detail of crop, and experiment related general details along with recent references.</li> <li>• add some more details in third paragraph of introduction.</li> <li>• Justify why zinc is taken for the experiment, in the last paragraphed introduction in brief</li> <li>• In materials and methods, the various parameters were studied using the standard method kindly provide the (collective) method reference.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add more references in discussion part to support your experimental findings</li> <li>• Add few more recent references</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Over all manuscript is good, incorporate all the corrections.</li> </ul>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agnivesh Yadav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United University, India</b>