

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_121559
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Plants used in the Traditional Treatment of Cancer in the District of Bamako, Mali.
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Medicinal Plants used in the Traditional Treatment of Cancer, Have to edit the manuscript, insert pictures of some plants	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add some Background and Graphical Abstract to more emphasize and make it more attractive for authors, Add graphical abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add some more recent references	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author must make clear the plants species to be clear the objectives	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is better to update with some more references, Add more references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is satisfied, although , Graphical abstract , Grammatical corrections as well as update with recent references	
Optional/General comments	May be accepted after some corrections and modifications	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratap Chand Mali
Department, University & Country	University of Rajasthan, India