

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_122333
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROMAGNETIC TURBULENT FLOW IN POROUS MEDIA OVER A STRETCHING SURFACE IN A ROTATING SYSTEM WITH HEAT
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Many typographical mistakes observed.</li> <li>2. Check the Grammer mistakes.</li> <li>3. References need to be more sufficient to publish the paper.</li> <li>4. Fig.2 and 5 are not displayed in the manuscript.</li> <li>5. I didn't understand the order of the graph.</li> <li>6. All the references are very old. Add the latest references.</li> <li>7. Resubmit the manuscript to correct the points mentioned above.</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Ok</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>ok</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Ok</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Need to be modified</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</b>	<b>No</b>	
Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Do the Corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Bala Siddulu Malga</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GITAM University, India</b>