

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_120664
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of inter- community conflicts on beef cattle production among pastoral communities of Marsabit County, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that the manuscript is good for the beef cattle production in Marsabit County.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Acceptable and will be published. Reference parts adding some references and after that it accepted for publication.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract is good. Introduction part is well understanding. The materials and methods and results and discussions parts write accordingly. The reference parts adding some references and after that it accepted for publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	The reference parts adding some references and after that it accepted for publication.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Accepted	
Optional/General comments	Overall good and reference parts adding some references and after that it accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Hemayatul Islam
Department, University & Country	University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh