

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFAR_122228</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Live Table Size Fish Transportation Practices in Nepal: Present Status and Its Prospective</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is basically a Popular Article attempting very important activity of fishery sector. There is paucity of published information in this topic in this region, hence the study is useful for fishery sector, fish farmers, consumers, entrepreneurs and researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need modification/ revision with incorporation of some factual information from the results obtained by the author/s.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Based on information gathered through questionnaires, there is need to incorporate another title- <b>Better management practices suggested for live fish transportation</b> (Suggest best practices for better live fish transportation including size of the fish to be transported, proper harvesting, best facilities for storage during transportation (with oxygen or without oxygen), best transport facilities, measures during transportation, display at the fish shops for sale etc.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Instead of the generalized information incorporated at many sections, the manuscript must be focussed on the study part undertaken by the authors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes need minor revisions	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kripal Datt Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR, India</b>