Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_121619**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A CASE REPORT ON RHEUMATIC HEART DISEASE**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It provides a detailed case report on rheumatic heart disease, a condition that continues to be a significant health concern in many parts of the world. However, the manuscript could be further strengthened by incorporating more recent research and a deeper discussion of the implications of this case for broader clinical practice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive in covering the main aspects of the case, including the background, clinical findings, and management. The abstract could include a brief mention of the patient's outcome or the effectiveness of the treatment. This would provide a complete overview of the case from presentation to resolution.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It provides a detailed and accurate description of a case of rheumatic heart disease, supported by appropriate clinical findings, diagnostic tests, and treatment approaches. The use of evidence-based practices throughout the manuscript further supports its scientific accuracy and reliability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	The list could be improved by including more recent references, particularly those published within the last 5 years, to ensure that the information is up-to-date and reflects the latest research and clinical guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Each table must have a heading and cited in the document. Each figure should have a clear caption and be referenced at appropriate points in the text. Terms like "MVP" and "MR" should be spelled out on first use with abbreviations in parentheses.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sumati Baral**
Department, University & Country	**Trident Academy of Creative Technology, India**