

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121530
Title of the Manuscript:	Nitrogen Uptake and Use Efficiency of Aerobic Rice as Affected by Different Nitrogen Management: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rice production is presently dependent on irrigation. In the context of climatic changes affecting water resources among many other factors, rice production should be thought differently. The present manuscript opens another interesting way of managing rice production under aerobic systems. I fully align with the authors in exploring that opportunity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Correct. Short but concise	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Correct.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Correct	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Problem well highlighted, opportunities and solutions well documented and illustrated in a well-balanced writing manner. The review is strongly supported by many scientific references. Those are traits of robust scientific documents.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	See above	
Minor REVISION comments	Correct	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salvator Kaboneka
Department, University & Country	University of Burundi, Burundi