

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJNFS_121174</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>RESPONSE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CLUSTER BEAN (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.) UNDER SEMI ARID TRACTS OF ANDHRA PRADESH</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents a significant contribution to the scientific community by addressing a critical gap in current research. It offers novel insights and methodologies that can enhance our understanding and potentially lead to new avenues for exploration. I appreciate the manuscript for its clear and thorough presentation of data, as well as its potential to spark further studies in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable and clearly define the subject of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the manuscript is short. It must be about 250 words with clear summary of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is good for scientific community and beneficial for farmers. But there are some mistakes in the manuscript, and I've mention them in the files. I suggest clearing the comments in the file and it will be good for future research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but I suggest using references from 2010 onward.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is understandable and good for the community.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please, use similar font size and proper spacing between words.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Zain ul Abideen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of the Punjab, Pakistan</b>