

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Agriculture |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJA_122030 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Integrated Crop management practices in Brinjal in Arunachal Pradesh |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Papers |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I have thoroughly reviewed the article entitled "Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Integrated Crop Management Practices in Brinjal in Arunachal Pradesh". The author has done good work. The content of the research is exciting, timely topic and its output will be immense benefit to the production of one of the major vegetable crops (brinjal). | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | 'Impact' term usually use in socio-economic study. I recommended to change the word with appropriate word. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The section is well written. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Author did not follow the appropriate structure in case of numbering the sections. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The articles is worthy of being granted due to the vital information embedded in it; still the article has some minor drawbacks which I marks within the reviewed article. Needs minor corrections. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient and well written with appropriate citations. But the author did not follow the journal guideline style within the text even in the reference section. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | It seems absolutely alright. | |
| Optional/General comments | The author conducted a good piece of research work on "Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Integrated Crop Management Practices in Brinjal in Arunachal Pradesh" | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Shamsun Naher |
| Department, University & Country | Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh |