

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_121761
Title of the Manuscript:	Role Of Tribespeople In The Sustenance Of Biodiversity And Agroecosystem
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study presented is controversial, but socially and environmentally relevant. It deserves to be published. However, it should present an objective, a method section describing the type of study and how it was constructed, as well as a conclusion. In this case, the study refers to a narrative review, which should be explained. The biggest criticism refers to the idea that tribal peoples conserve diversity and agroecosystems. In relation to conventional agricultural systems, this point of view is true. However, more diversity (some useful species) is conserved than in other forms of management. The authors themselves make this clear by only addressing plant species that are useful for something. Among the animals, only one mammal and one insect (bees) are listed. When evaluating the history of humanity, we must not forget that it was essentially hunter gatherers who are blamed for the extinction of dozens of species of continental and insular megafauna around the world. On the other hand, with the world's population growing, it is not possible to believe that the entire population can return to living as a tribal people. At the same time, the current economic model, based on consumption, is advancing and harassing the last reserves of natural resources. Tribal people or not, we have urgent problems to solve as humanity. An analysis of these points can help make the manuscript more interesting to the reader.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes. See comments</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No, see comments</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>See comments</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No, see comments</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junir Antônio Lutinski
Department, University & Country	Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, Brazil