

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121627
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of British Policy on the Social Mobility and Employment Opportunities of Eurasian Women in Nineteenth and Twentieth Century India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides very deep insight into an important social factor and historical reality during the colonial era in India. The analysis of colonial impacts on the lives of Eurasian women is very much objective and unbiased whereas most of the writing reflecting colonial impacts are often loaded with the burden of partiality. Such impartial and objective analysis is highly needed in social sciences research for developing more inclusive and flexible societies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the manuscript is apt and fitting.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine and comprehensive but needs minor addition: significance of the study may be added in few sentences.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are appropriate and the manuscript is well structured. It doesn't require further change.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is technically correct and sound. Formatting is appropriate. Data is systematically arranged and objectively analysed. Argument is validated with necessary and relevant evidences. Though it details and analyses a social and historical perspective, it utilize a scientific approach (a controlled research methodology including main ingredients of quantitative and qualitative research).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Research jargon is really suitable for scholarly and academic communication. Choice of words, phrases and idioms used in the manuscript is appropriate and significantly relates to the subject matter. Moreover, it is clear and concise.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-structured, contains validity and reliability and utilizes a clear and standard research jargon. It meets the criteria of a standard research article to be published in this journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Qasim
Department, University & Country	Superior University, Pakistan