

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121627
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of British Policy on the Social Mobility and Employment Opportunities of Eurasian Women in Nineteenth and Twentieth Century India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable according to the research conducted by the scholar.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should follow the general norms of writing a good abstract. It should start with the proper sentences e.g. This research aims to highlight, this article aims to investigate rather than an abrupt start. It should clearly mention all the sections discussed in the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The extensive introductory paragraphs may be divided into easily manageable smaller sections for improved readability. Review the connections and cohesion between sections and paragraphs to guarantee a seamless transition of ideas. Examine the paper for uniform formatting elements, such as font size, style, and headings, while also verifying that the citation style (APA, MLA, etc.) and references are consistently applied throughout the document.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is. But there are minor revisions that must be done in order to make it a solid stand in research and academia.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The process of Correction and verification of the citation style (APA, MLA, etc.) and references are consistently applied throughout the document.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please Read the article again as it requires the revisions of grammar and spellings.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafsa Qadir Buzdar
Department, University & Country	National University of Modern Languages, Pakistan