

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJSSPN_122122 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of foliar nutrition on growth, yield and yield attributes of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under medium black calcareous soil |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 1-the manuscript needs more improving for all section, Abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion, and references. 2- Introduction needs more review of literature about applying foliar fertilization, and aim of the study. 3-Materials and methods need planting date, data recorded and methods of measurement, data analysis. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | No, it needs more explanation for materials and methods, and the fertilizers treatments | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 1-the results must contain an explanation for all parameters recoded, and all the data in the tables. 2- Discussion is very poor, and need to improved. 3- I suggest to divide the table into two tables, because it's so confused . | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | No, only 11 | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Abdel-Monnem Sadalaha Khalel |
| Department, University & Country | Technical Agricultural College, Iraq |