

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121820
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Parameter of Mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) under different Integrated Nutrient Management Practices in Western Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like, because This research is tries to compare the effect of nutrient management on mung beans in two years cultivated	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. Its quaiite suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is not quite comprehensive because the research did not explain the best results from the treatments tested	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it has appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically, this manuscript is sufficiently supported by a strong theoretical basis and previous research results, however, in it was not explained whether the increase in yield in the second year was due to the remaining nutrition provided in the first year or whether there were other factors maybe it can be added in additional discussion	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is very scientific and easy to understand by readers, especially researchers and academics	
Optional/General comments	In general, this manuscript is very interesting and enriches scientific studies, especially those related to the use of nutritional sources on the second years	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sjarifuddin Ende
Department, University & Country	College of Agricultural Sciences of Mujahidin, Indonesia