

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122599
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF MARKETED SURPLUS, AND FACTORS AFFECTING IT AND MARKETING EFFICIENCY OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As indicated in the manuscript, milk is perishable in nature and its shelf-life is very short in unprocessed form. This must therefore be disposed of or converted as soon as possible into different milk products. In other term, it should be marketed quickly. Therefore, this study tried to address factors affecting marketed surplus in order to make relevant policy interventions to commercialize milk and minimize perishable amount.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable title provided that the author will correct it by adding the study area as commented in the body of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are numerous points to be added including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research problem • the methods used in the course of conducting the research • All significant variables implications and recommendations 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is scientifically robust. However, in any research there are statistical tests which are necessary to show data and model fitness. Therefore, as per the comments, the author is expected to add missing data test statistics values.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references require updating with recent works. The author didn't mention any citation in the body of the text, i don't know why. Both in text citation and reference should be included in matching number.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is readable and easy to understand	
Optional/General comments	To make the article better, there is a long way to go and the author should dedicate his time to improve the manuscript according to the comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tsadiku Alemu
Department, University & Country	Hawassa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia