

Baculoviruses in integrated pest management of fall armyworm,

(*Spodoptera frugiperda*) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): Structure, Classification and application

Abstract

Baculoviruses are crucial biological agents in integrated pest management (IPM), particularly for controlling lepidopteran pests in agriculture. These are highly specific, eco-friendly viruses characterized by rod-shaped nucleocapsids containing a protein-encased genome. The Baculoviridae family comprises four genera: Alphabaculovirus, Betabaculovirus, Gammabaculovirus, and Deltabaculovirus, each targeting specific insect orders. Nucleopolyhedrovirus (NPVs) and granuloviruses (GVs) are extensively used in pest management due to their high virulence and specificity, ensuring safety for non-target organisms. Ascoviruses are dsDNA viruses that infect *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae, transmitted primarily by parasitoids. Despite high virulence, their use in pest control is limited due to ineffective oral transmission. Combining ascoviruses with other pathogens, such as *Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki* (Btk), may enhance their pest control potential. The infection process begins when insect larvae ingest viral occlusion bodies, leading to systemic infection and host death. Despite their narrow host range and slower action compared to chemical insecticides, baculoviruses are invaluable in sustainable agriculture. Their application has expanded beyond pest control to include gene therapy and vaccine production. This review examines the structure, classification, infection process, and current status of baculoviruses in controlling the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, a significant agricultural pest. By exploring their potential as a cornerstone in biological pest management, we highlight the importance of baculoviruses in developing sustainable and environmentally friendly pest control strategies. The integration of baculoviruses into IPM programs offers a promising approach to reduce chemical pesticide use, preserve biodiversity, and enhance crop protection, ultimately contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices and food security.

Key words: Baculoviruses, Integrated Pest Management (IPM), Nucleopolyhedrovirus (NPVs), *Spodoptera frugiperda*, Biological pest management

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Baculoviruses

Crop production globally faces significant challenges from pests and pathogens, particularly in tropical and subtropical monocultures. While synthetic chemical pesticides have been the primary pest management method, their use is increasingly problematic due to environmental harm, health risks, persistence, insect resistance, and high costs. These factors have led to growing farmer reluctance to use synthetic chemicals. In response, IPM has gained prominence, combining cultural, physiological, chemical, and biological controls to mitigate insecticide resistance and reduce environmental hazards [1-2]. IPM emphasizes eco-friendly, biodegradable alternatives for sustainable pest control, reduced reliance on synthetic chemicals, and preservation of beneficial organisms. This shift towards IPM represents a crucial step in developing more sustainable and environmentally responsible agricultural practices, addressing both pest management needs and broader ecological concerns.

Biological agents, including predators, parasitoids, and microbial pesticides (viruses, fungi, bacteria, and nematodes), have been utilized since the 1940s and 1950s for insect pest management. These methods are effective and safe for beneficial insects, making them a well-established approach in IPM strategies [3]. Viruses, particularly effective against larvae and certain insects like shrimp, mosquitoes, and sawflies, are non-infectious to mammals as they cannot replicate in mammalian cells [4-5]. **Baculoviridae family comprises four genera, each targeting specific insect orders - the biocontrol method to preserve biodiversity and enhance crop protection, ultimately contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices and food security. Baculovirus infections in larvae typically cause lethargy, pale discoloration, death.** Baculoviruses, named for their rod-shaped nucleocapsids ("baculum" meaning cane), exist in two forms: occlusion-derived viruses (ODVs) encapsulated in a crystalline protein matrix, and budded viruses (BVs) produced by diseased cells [6]. These nucleocapsids, typically 40-60 nm wide and 250-300 nm long, contain the viral DNA genome embedded within a protein capsid and associated with an arginine-rich basic protein [7]. The structure and composition of baculoviruses contribute to their effectiveness as biological control agents, offering a sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides in agriculture while preserving ecological balance. **This manuscript is the importance for the scientific**

community, because of crop protection globally faces significant challenges from pests and pathogens, while synthetic chemical pesticides have been the primary pest management method led to environmental harm, health risks. This manuscript highlights the importance of baculoviruses in developing sustainable and environmentally friendly pest control strategies. This is to explore their potential as a cornerstone in biological pest management to offer a promising approach to reduce chemical pesticide use

1.2. Classification

The Baculoviridae family, comprising 66 species across four genera, is a prominent group of viruses widely used as biological insecticides [8-9]. These genera, *Alphabaculovirus*, *Betabaculovirus*, *Gammabaculovirus*, and *Deltabaculovirus* are target specific to insect orders: Lepidoptera (Alpha- and Betabaculoviruses), Hymenoptera (Gammabaculoviruses), and Diptera (Deltabaculoviruses) [6&10]. The family is further divided into two subfamilies: Eubaculovirinae, with virions enclosed in a protein matrix, and Nudibaculovirinae, lacking this protein covering. Within Eubaculovirinae, nuclear polyhedrosis viruses (NPVs) and granulosis viruses (GVs) are extensively used in pest management due to their high virulence and specificity to insect species. The term "virus," derived from Latin for poison, refers to disease-causing agents composed of nucleic acids encased in a protein shell (capsid). In biological pest control, NPVs are predominant, hence the term nucleopolyhedroviruses. Approximately 60% of Baculoviridae viruses are employed to combat pests threatening fiber and food crops, underscoring their significance in sustainable agriculture and integrated pest management strategies.

1.3. Structure of Baculoviruses

Baculoviruses are small, circular, double-stranded DNA viruses that infect a wide range of insects and arthropods. Their genetic material is encased in a protective protein shell called a polyhedron, which is further enveloped within occlusion bodies (OBs) for enhanced stability and longevity [6&11]. First identified in the crane fly (*Tipula paludosa*) and later in the spruce sawfly (*Gilpinia hercyniae*), baculoviruses include nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPVs) and granuloviruses. These viruses, along with polydnviruses, ascoviruses, and reoviruses, are key pathogens in biological pest control. Beyond pest management, baculoviruses have applications in gene therapy and vaccine production [12-13]. Baculoviruses are particularly effective against Lepidoptera species, but also target insects from orders such as Hymenoptera, Diptera, Neuroptera, Coleoptera,

Trichoptera, as well as some Crustacea and mites. The Baculoviridae family, comprising NPVs and granuloviruses, is widely used in pest management due to its eco-friendliness, effectiveness, stability, and environmental safety. NPVs, first recorded in 1913 as a filterable virus, infect hosts by attaching to them for extended periods, aided by occlusion bodies. These rod-shaped viruses multiply within hosts, ultimately causing death. In the 1940s, NPVs were introduced as biopesticides in crop fields. In India, NPVs have been documented in various insect species, including *Helicoverpa armigera*, *Spodoptera litura*, *S. exigua*, and several others. The development and application of baculoviruses have been extensively reviewed globally [2]. Their ability to infect a broad range of insect pests, coupled with their specificity and environmental safety, makes baculoviruses invaluable tools in integrated pest management strategies. As research continues to advance, the potential applications of baculoviruses in agriculture, forestry, and biotechnology continue to expand, offering promising solutions for sustainable pest control and beyond.

1.4. Characteristics of Nucleopolyhedrovirus

Nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPVs) are widely used in integrated pest management due to their species-specific action, posing no harm to non-target organisms such as mammals, birds, and fish. They are safe for beneficial insects, including predators and pollinators, and are environmentally friendly, causing no damage to plants or humans. Additionally, NPVs offer effective natural pest control and are compatible with various integrated pest management strategies. These viruses can be produced at both farm and industrial scales, as highlighted [14]. However, certain factors limit their global use, including their narrow host range, slow speed in killing pests, and limited availability of samples. Although Baculoviruses have been used to enhance the toxicity of insecticides, there are still no improved or commercially available baculovirus-based insecticides [15].

1.5. Host Range

There are numerous viral families, but Baculoviruses specifically belong to the family Baculoviridae. These viruses have been isolated from insects in the orders Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera, and Diptera, with over 700 baculoviruses identified. The majority of these viruses have been isolated from Lepidoptera, with smaller numbers from Hymenoptera and Diptera. According to [16], more than 50 baculovirus-based products have been utilized globally for pest

management. These viral products have been employed to control insect pests in forests, agriculture, and vegetable crops.

1.6. Transmission

Baculoviruses can be transmitted both vertically and horizontally from infected to healthy hosts, and these modes of transmission play a crucial role in the ecology of baculoviruses. Horizontal transmission refers to the spread of the virus from infected populations to healthy ones. In this process, infected hosts die, and viral particles are released from their cadavers into the soil and onto foliage. Large quantities of occlusion bodies (OBs) are released from these dead cadavers, which are then ingested by susceptible hosts during feeding. Additionally, the host plants become contaminated with the feces of infected populations, serving as a significant source for further virus transmission.

1.7. Infectious Process (larvae or adult etc.)

A wide variety of pathogens, including parasites, predators, and parasitoids, have been observed to alter their host's behavior during infection [17]. Examples of this include *Toxoplasma*-infected rodents losing their instinctive aversion to cats, ants infected with lancet liver flukes climbing onto grass leaves, and Gordian worm-infected crickets and grasshoppers displaying altered behaviors. Baculoviruses, however, are unique in that they specifically infect the larval stages of insects. The infection typically occurs when the insect host ingests occlusion body (OB) contaminated plant material or soil. Baculoviruses produce two types of virions: occlusion-derived viruses (ODVs) and budded virions (BVs). ODVs facilitate horizontal transmission between insect hosts, while BVs are responsible for spreading the infection systemically within a single host. Once ingested, the OBs and food particles travel through the foregut and enter the larval midgut, where the infection process begins. Within approximately 10 minutes of entering the midgut, ODVs spread throughout the midgut, breaching the peritrophic membrane to infect midgut epithelial cells, leading to a systemic infection [18]. Lepidopteran larvae have highly alkaline midgut environments, with pH levels ranging from 10 to 11, and baculoviruses have evolved to exploit this alkaline microenvironment. The alkalinity of the midgut aids in dissolving OBs, releasing the occlusion-derived virions (ODVs) embedded within them into the midgut lumen. These ODVs are released within about 10 minutes of entering the midgut, where they subsequently breach the

peritrophic membrane, invade the midgut epithelial cells, and establish an efficient systemic infection [18-19].

1.8. Symptoms

Upon transmission into their hosts, viruses induce distinct behavioral changes in the larvae. Initially, larvae movement ceases, and feeding activity significantly decreases. Infected larvae often adhere to and hang from vegetation. Their bodies become swollen and exhibit a glossy appearance. A key distinction between Nucleopolyhedrovirus (NPVs) and Granuloviruses (GVs) lies in their physical structures: NPVs form angular polyhedral inclusion bodies, whereas GV's are characterized by ellipsoidal shapes.

1.9. Life Cycle

Autographa californica multicapsid nucleopolyhedrovirus (AcMNPV) is one of the most extensively studied viruses within the family Baculoviridae. This virus was initially isolated from the alfalfa looper, a species belonging to the order Lepidoptera. The infection process begins when the larvae consume viral occlusion bodies (OBs). Upon ingestion, these OBs release occlusion-derived viruses (ODVs), which target the larval midgut, a process well-documented by various researchers. The ODVs attach specifically to the midgut epithelial cells, after which they enter the cytosol and nucleus of the cells, initiating viral gene expression. Following this, budded viruses (BVs) are produced and invade nearby tissues, such as the tracheal system and hemocytes of the insect. The AcMNPV then spreads throughout the insect's body, where it utilizes specific activating enzymes to break down insect tissues, facilitating the multiplication of the virus in the environment. This tissue degradation aids in the release of viral particles, enhancing their ability to infect new hosts.

2. Current Status of Viruses in the Control of FAW

Much of our current understanding of insect viruses comes from research focused on viruses that impact the mass rearing of economically significant insects, such as the silkworm *Bombyx mori*, the two-spotted cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*, and the house cricket *Acheta domesticus*. “Additionally, extensive study has been conducted on viruses with potential as biocontrol agents against major agricultural pests like the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa zea* and forest defoliators such as the gypsy moth *Lymantria dispar*” [48]. These viruses include members

of the ascovirus, baculovirus, and densovirus families [20-21]. Over the past decade, large-scale sequencing studies have led to the discovery of novel viruses in various lepidopteran species. In the following section, we will discuss the virus families associated with *S. frugiperda*, detailing their key biological characteristics, the symptoms they cause in susceptible insect stages, and their potential for use as biological control agents.

2.1. Ascoviruses

Ascoviruses (family Ascoviridae) are large, enveloped, double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses with genome sizes ranging from 100 to 200 kilobase pairs (kbp) [22]. These viruses primarily infect species within the Noctuidae family of the order Lepidoptera, specifically targeting the larval stages [20-21]. Parasitoids serve as the natural vectors for ascoviruses in the field, facilitating their transmission. Infection by ascoviruses triggers the formation of virion-containing vesicles within the hemolymph of infected larvae, giving it a characteristic milky appearance—a hallmark of the disease [23-24]. “The circulation of these virions and vesicles within the hemolymph enables mechanical transmission of the virus to healthy larvae or pupae through endoparasitic wasps during oviposition” [23]. “Ascoviruses cause chronic to fatal diseases in larvae, leading to stunted growth, molting difficulties, and eventual death. These infections are observed across all larval stages in natural populations of *S. frugiperda*” [25]. The first reported case of ascovirus infection in natural FAW populations in the USA was documented [7]. Additionally, field surveys in Mexico identified two *S. frugiperda* larvae infected with ascoviruses, characterized by the presence of vesicles [26]. Despite their high virulence, ascoviruses have not been utilized in biological pest control due to significant limitations. Transmission by parasitoids poses a major challenge, as per os (oral) infection of larvae is rarely effective [27]. “This inefficacy is attributed to the inability of ascoviruses to fully overcome the insect midgut barrier. A potential strategy to enhance the effectiveness of ascoviruses in biological control involves combining them with other insect pathogens capable of lysing the insect midgut and initiating infection. For example, mixtures of *Heliothis virescens* ascovirus isolates (HvAV-3h and HvAV-3j) with *Bacillus thuringiensis* kurstaki (Btk) were effective in lysing the midgut of lepidopteran species such as *Mythimna separata* and *Spodoptera litura*, resulting in increased mortality compared to the control group treated with Btk alone. However, this approach showed low mortality in *Helicoverpa armigera* and *S. frugiperda*” [28-29]. The low mortality in *S. frugiperda* might be due to its low

susceptibility to the Btk strain Cry1AC, or the inability of the *H. virescens* ascoviruses to infect *S. frugiperda*. Nonetheless, combining ascoviruses with other pathogens could potentially improve them as per infection efficiency, offering a promising alternative for pest control.

2.2. Baculoviruses

Baculoviruses, members of the Baculoviridae family, are large, circular double-stranded DNA viruses with genomes ranging from 80 to 180 kbp. They primarily infect lepidopteran larvae, many of agricultural importance [30]. The family comprises four genera: *Alphabaculovirus* and *Betabaculovirus* (infecting lepidopterans), *Gammabaculovirus* (infecting hymenopterans), and *Deltabaculovirus* (infecting a dipteran species [30-31]). These viruses exhibit a bi-phasic infection cycle with two virion types: occlusion-derived viruses (ODVs) and budded viruses (BVs). ODVs, encased in protective occlusion bodies (OBs), initiate midgut infection, while BVs facilitate systemic spread within the host. OBs provide environmental stability, allowing for long-term storage and use in biopesticide sprays [32]. Baculoviruses are morphologically distinguished as nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPVs) and granuloviruses (GVs), differing in their OB matrix proteins [33]. Their applications extend beyond pest control to biotechnology, serving as expression vectors and gene therapy delivery vehicles [34]. The co-evolution with hosts has resulted in a narrow host range, typically restricted to closely related species, making baculoviruses highly specific and environmentally safe alternatives to chemical pesticides [34]. Alphabaculoviruses infecting *Spodoptera* species, such as *SfMNPV*, *SeMNPV*, *SpliNPV*, and *SpltNPV*, exemplify this specificity. Baculovirus infections in larvae typically cause lethargy, pale discoloration, death, and often liquefaction [35-36]. Some baculoviruses manipulate host behavior, inducing hyperactivity and "tree-top" disease [37]. *S. frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (*SfMNPV*) is the primary viral candidate for controlling the fall armyworm (FAW) globally. Various *SfMNPV* isolates have demonstrated high larval mortality in *S. frugiperda* [38]. Dead caterpillars serve as inoculum sources, promoting viral epizootics crucial for effective biological control [39]. Other baculoviruses, like *S. littoralis* nucleopolyhedrovirus (*SpliNPV*), have shown effectiveness against *S. frugiperda*, achieving up to 60% larval mortality in tests [40]. However, the inter-host effectiveness of non-specific isolates is often lower, emphasizing the importance of obtaining local *SfMNPV* or *S. frugiperda* granulovirus (*SfGV*) isolates for optimal control of local FAW populations [41-42]. The high specificity, environmental safety, and effectiveness of baculoviruses

make them invaluable tools in IPM programs [43]. Their ability to cause lethal infections in target pests while sparing beneficial insects and posing no threat to humans or the environment positions baculoviruses as a sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides. As research continues to advance, the potential applications of baculoviruses in agriculture, forestry, and biotechnology expand, offering promising solutions for pest control and beyond. The ongoing study of baculovirus ecology, host interactions, and genetic diversity contributes to the development of more effective biopesticides and biotechnological applications, further solidifying the role of these viruses in sustainable agriculture and scientific innovation.

3. *SfMNPV*: The Most Promising Viral Candidate for the Biological Control of FAW

Over the past decades, numerous *SfMNPV* isolates have been obtained from dead *S. frugiperda* larvae collected in crop fields and pastures across the Americas [42] some of these isolates have been developed into commercial biopesticides. Following the first reports of FAW (fall armyworm) invasion in several countries in the Eastern Hemisphere, efforts have been directed towards collecting and characterizing effective natural enemies that may have co-invaded these new areas along with FAW. Baculoviruses, particularly *SfMNPV* and *SfGV*, are among these natural enemies, having been associated with FAW in its native range (Escribano *et al.*, 1999). However, knowledge about the occurrence of *SfMNPV* and *SfGV* in the invading FAW populations remains limited. Nonetheless, naturally occurring field isolates of *SfMNPV* have been discovered in newly invaded regions, including China, India [43] and Nigeria. For instance, initial characterization of an *SfMNPV* field isolate from China (*SfHub*) revealed two naturally occurring genotypes, *SfHub-A* and *SfHub-E*, which differ in their biological characteristics [44-45]. “Commercial isolates of *SfMNPV* have been registered and successfully employed to control *S. frugiperda* in North and South America, and more recently in certain African and Asian countries” [41]. “To date, only two *SfGV* isolates have been isolated from *S. frugiperda*” [47]. “*SfGVs* are characterized by a relatively slow speed of kill, requiring up to 24 days to kill *S. frugiperda* larvae. The virulence of different *SfMNPV* and *SfGV* isolates, in terms of lethal concentration and speed of kill, varies widely. One major reason for these differences is the variation in specific genes within the genomes of the different isolates” [35]. Beyond the core genes shared by most baculoviruses, variations due to insertions, deletions, and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been reported among different isolates [1]. “Additionally, the virulence of *SfMNPV* isolates

can also be influenced by the specific *S. frugiperda* strains present in the field. Bioassay experiments have shown varying levels of susceptibility in corn- and rice-strain larvae to the same *SfMNPV* isolates, with the corn strain exhibiting a broader range of susceptibility in terms of lethal concentration and speed of kill compared to the rice strain” [38].

4. Specificity of *SfMNPV*

Most baculoviruses are known to infect only one or a few closely related species, with some exceptions like *Autographa californica* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (*AcMNPV*) and *Mamestra brassicae* *MNPV* (*MbMNPV*). These well-studied viruses are generalists with broad host ranges, capable of causing larval mortality in a wide array of insect species from different families [31]. In contrast, *S. frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (*SfMNPV*) has a very narrow host range, primarily infecting only *S. frugiperda* larvae. Furthermore, similar to other baculoviruses, *SfMNPV* does not infect non-target organisms such as pollinators or other beneficial species. For instance, field-scale studies have shown that *SfMNPV* has no adverse effects on non-target and beneficial organisms, including predatory earwigs and beetles. This is in stark contrast to chemical pesticides like chlorpyrifos, which have been shown to harm natural enemies [47]. This suggests that when *SfMNPV* is introduced in new geographic regions, such as Africa or Asia, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the local environment. Additionally, *SfMNPV* is highly compatible with IPM systems, successfully being used in combination with other control strategies such as spinosad [42], Bt foliar sprays, and transgenic Bt expression [42]. These characteristics make *SfMNPV* a highly suitable candidate for the biological control of *S. frugiperda*. Beyond inter-host specificity, intra-host population specificity is also a common phenomenon in many baculovirus-host interactions, including the *SfMNPV-S. frugiperda* complex. Previous studies have demonstrated that the susceptibility of *S. frugiperda* populations to *SfMNPV* isolates depends on the geographical origin of both the virus and the host population [12]. This intra-host specificity has been illustrated by the observation that *SfMNPV* isolates from local populations are often more effective against *S. frugiperda* populations from the same region. For example, the Colombian *SfMNPV* isolate (*SfCol*) was found to be 12 times more pathogenic to a Colombian *S. frugiperda* population than the Nicaraguan isolate (*SfNIC*). Similarly, *S. frugiperda* populations from Honduras showed greater susceptibility to neighboring isolates from Nicaragua (*SfNIC*) and the USA (*Sf-US*) than to a more geographically distant isolate from Argentina (*Sf-Ar*), which required

14 times the median lethal concentration to achieve the same mortality [42]. In the context of newly invaded regions, it is crucial to identify *SfMNPV* isolates associated with the local *S. frugiperda* populations to ensure effective control. This specificity highlights the importance of using locally adapted *SfMNPV* strains for the biological control of *S. frugiperda* in newly affected areas.

5. Challenges of Using Baculoviruses for Biological Control

The application of baculoviruses for biological control faces both technical and social challenges. A key technical issue is the reduced efficacy of baculoviruses compared to chemical pesticides, as field-derived virus isolates often require higher concentrations and take longer to kill pests [28]. The specific strain of FAW in the field can also affect the efficacy of baculovirus isolates. To address this, researchers are exploring the purification of single genotypes from field isolates, which have shown increased virulence in some cases due to natural genome deletions. Recent studies have found that combining NPV and GV isolates, such as *SfCol* and *SfGVVG008*, enhances larval mortality in FAW due to the presence of enhancin genes in the GV isolate, which improve infection efficiency by aiding the penetration of ODVs into the peritrophic membrane [28]. However, the effectiveness of baculoviruses is also limited by their susceptibility to ultraviolet (UV) radiation, which damages viral DNA and reduces their field efficacy. Microencapsulation techniques have been developed to protect OBs from UV radiation, improving storage stability and extending the virus's effectiveness in the field [25-28]. This method involves coating OBs in a protective layer, allowing for various formulations such as emulsions, spray drying, and wettable powders. For example, microencapsulated OBs using methacrylic acid polymers like Eudragit S100® have demonstrated significantly improved half-lives and persistence under field conditions compared to unformulated viruses [18]. Mass production of OBs is another significant challenge in commercializing baculovirus-based biopesticides. Maintaining healthy insect colonies for in vivo production is difficult due to potential pathogen outbreaks and the cannibalistic behavior of species like FAW [33]. Additionally, the liquefaction of larvae during infection complicates the handling and recovery of OBs. Alternatives to address these challenges include freezing liquefied larvae, collecting moribund larvae before liquefaction, or selecting isolates that do not cause liquefaction, such as *SfMNPV-6*, which has been identified in Brazil and is equally effective as other virulent isolates, despite taking longer to kill the larvae [29]. In vitro

production in insect cell cultures offers another potential solution for mass production, though concerns about mutations and reduced virulence during multiple passages in cell cultures remain [2-4]. The primary social challenge in applying baculoviruses for biological control is farmers' willingness to use these viruses in pest management. This willingness varies across different geographical regions and socio-economic groups of farmers. In North and South America, biological control with baculoviruses has been successfully adopted for several decades, particularly among commercially oriented large estate farmers. In Europe and South Africa, baculovirus isolates like CpGV and CrleGV are widely used in orchards, and OrNV is effectively deployed in Asia for controlling the rhinoceros beetle in palm and coconut plantations. However, some farmers remain hesitant to "spray a virus" on their crops, partly due to concerns about the higher cost of baculoviruses compared to chemical pesticides and their inconsistent performance in the field. This hesitation is often linked to a limited understanding of the mode of action and safety of insect-pathogenic viruses, exacerbated by broader concerns about viruses' roles in global pandemics. Increasing awareness about the safety and efficacy of baculovirus applications is crucial to enhancing acceptance among farmers and the general public. Local and international agricultural organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International (CABI), International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), and International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE), are essential in promoting awareness and fostering the adoption of this technology.

In India, the adoption of baculoviruses for biological control faces similar social challenges as seen in other regions, with farmers' willingness to use viral biopesticides varying widely. Although there is growing interest in sustainable agricultural practices, many Indian farmers are hesitant to adopt baculovirus technology due to concerns about cost, efficacy, and a limited understanding of how these viruses work. Additionally, the broader societal apprehension towards viruses, especially in the wake of global pandemics, adds to the reluctance. To overcome these barriers, there is a need for targeted awareness campaigns and educational initiatives to inform farmers about the safety and benefits of baculovirus applications. Agricultural organizations, both local and international, play a crucial role in promoting the acceptance of this technology in India. By working closely with farmers and addressing their concerns, institutions like the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International (CABI), and national bodies can help increase the adoption of baculoviruses in Indian agriculture.

6. Conclusion

This review underscores the significant role of baculoviruses in the IPM of *S. frugiperda*, commonly known as the fall armyworm. The detailed exploration of baculovirus structure, classification, and their mode of action highlights their unique attributes that make them suitable for biological control. Baculoviruses' high specificity and safety profile render them valuable tools in sustainable pest management strategies, offering a viable alternative to chemical pesticides [25]. Despite the promising attributes of baculoviruses, several challenges remain, including their production, formulation, and environmental stability. Ongoing research and technological advancements are crucial for enhancing the efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and applicability of baculoviruses in diverse agricultural settings [28-29]. Further studies are needed to address these challenges, optimize application methods, and integrate baculoviruses more effectively within IPM programs [36]. In conclusion, the integration of baculoviruses into pest management strategies represents a forward-looking approach to addressing the global challenge of pest control. By leveraging the natural mechanisms of these viruses, it is possible to reduce dependency on chemical pesticides, mitigate environmental impacts, and achieve more sustainable agricultural practices.

Data availability statement

All the data have been provided in the main text of the manuscript.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

References

1. Chandler D, Bailey AS, Tatchell GM, Davidson G, Greaves J, Grant WP (2011). The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biol. Sci.* 366(1573):1987-98.
2. Prasad Arti PA, Wadhvani Yogita WY (2011). Field compatibility of microbial pesticide SL NPV with synthetic pesticide ROKET, [Cypermethrin+ Profenofos] against tobacco caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* [Fabricus] *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci.* Vol. 2, No. 4, 767-776.
3. Ayyub MB, Nawaz A, Arif MJ, Amrao L (. 2019). Individual and combined impact of nuclear polyhedrosis virus and spinosad to control the tropical armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in cotton in Pakistan. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control.* Dec; 29:1-6.
4. Ahmed YE, Desoky SM, El-Sabagh MM (2016), Sofy AR. Molecular and biological characterization of a nucleopolyhedrovirus isolate (Egy-SINPV) from *Spodoptera littoralis* in Egypt. *Int J Virol Mol Bio.*;5:34-45.
5. Moscardi F, De Souza ML, De Castro ME, Lara Moscardi M, Szewczyk B (2011). Baculovirus pesticides: present state and future perspectives. In *Microbes and microbial technology: Agric. Environ. Appl* (pp. 415-445). New York, NY: Springer New York.
6. Haase S, Sciocco-Cap A, Romanowski V (2015). Baculovirus insecticides in Latin America: historical overview, current status and future perspectives. *Viruses.*7(5):2230-67.

7. Maciel-Vergara G, Ros VI (2017). Viruses of insects reared for food and feed. *J. Invertebr. Pathol*; 147:60-75.
8. Longdon B, Murray GG, Palmer WJ, Day JP, Parker DJ, Welch JJ, Obbard DJ, Jiggins FM (2015). The evolution, diversity, and host associations of rhabdoviruses. *Virus Evol*;1(1): vev014.
9. Asgari S, Bideshi DK, Bigot Y, Federici BA, Cheng XW (2017), ICTV Report Consortium. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: Ascoviridae. *J. Gen. Virol.*98(1):4-5.
10. Hamm JJ, Pair SD, Marti Jr OG (1986). Incidence and host range of a new ascovirus isolated from fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Fla. Entomol*:524-31.
11. Rohrmann GF (2011). The structure and function of baculoviruses. *Virology*. 411(2):188-99. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2010.12.028.
12. Yu H, Yang CJ, Li N, Zhao Y, Chen ZM, Yi SJ, Li ZQ, Adang MJ, Huang GH (2021). Novel strategies for the biocontrol of noctuid pests (Lepidoptera) based on improving ascovirus infectivity using *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Insect Sci.* (5):1452-67.
13. Raghunandan BL, Patel NM, Dave HJ, Mehta DM (2019). Natural occurrence of nucleopolyhedrovirus infecting fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Gujarat, India *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 7(2):1040-3.
14. Chen ZW, Yang YC, Zhang JF, Jin MH, Xiao YT, Xia ZC, Liu YY, Yu SZ, Yang YB, Yuan WA, Yi LI (2021). Susceptibility and tissue specificity of *Spodoptera frugiperda* to *Junonia coenia* densovirus. *J. Integr. Agric*;20(3):840-9.
15. Sajjan DB, Hinchigeri SB (2016). Structural organization of baculovirus occlusion bodies and protective role of multilayered polyhedron envelope protein. *Food Environ. Virol*;8:86-100.
16. Ahmad M, Wang Q, Zhang L, Zhang J (2011). Baculoviruses: A comprehensive overview of their biology and application. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 107(3):191-204. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2011.01.014.
17. Xu P, Yang L, Yang X, Li T, Graham RI, Wu K, Wilson K (2020). Novel partiti-like viruses are conditional mutualistic symbionts in their normal lepidopteran host, African armyworm, but parasitic in a novel host, Fall armyworm. *PLoS Pathog*;16(6):e1008467.

18. Passarelli AL (2011). Mechanisms of baculovirus infection and replication. *Infect Dis Rep.* 3(3):19-28. doi:10.4081/idr.2011.e19.
19. Federici BA (1997). Nucleopolyhedrovirus: A natural insecticide. In: *Advances in Virus Research.* 48:155-214. doi:10.1016/S0065-3527(08)60351-2.
20. Jakubowska, A.K.; Nalcacioglu, R.; Millán-Leiva, A.; Sanz-Carbonell, A.; Muratoglu, H.; Herrero, S.; Demirbag, Z (2015). In search of pathogens: Transcriptome-based identification of viral sequences from the pine processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*). *Viruses*, 7, 456–479.
21. Cherry AJ, Whitten MMA (2002). Ascoviruses: A unique family of insect viruses with a biphasic life cycle. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2(4):406-14. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2012.05.002.
22. Asgari S, Johnson KN, Theilmann DA. Ascoviruses (2017): A unique family of insect viruses with a biphasic life cycle. *Virology.* 505:37-47. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2016.11.014.
23. Federici BA, Bigot Y. Ascoviruses (2008): Unique viruses in the insect virosphere. *Virol J.* 5(1):18. doi:10.1186/1743-422X-5-18.
24. Eberle J, Matzke M, Posse M (2012). Ascoviruses infection and pathology in *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 111(2):208-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2012.05.005.
25. Pavan JS, Patel NB, Raghunandan BL, Baldaniya AM (2022). Efficacy of Nucleopolyhedrovirus (*SfNPV*) and Insecticides alone and in Combination against fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Infesting Maize. In *Biol. Forum*, 14(4): 0000-0000.
26. Lezama-Gutiérrez R, López L, López-Lastra M (2001). Detection of ascoviruses in natural populations of *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Mexico. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 78(3):178-84. doi:10.1006/jipa.2001.5034.
27. Yang J, Liu X, Xu X (2020). Molecular characterization of *Spodoptera frugiperda* nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates from different regions of China. *J Virol.* 94(4). doi:10.1128/JVI.01879-191262-020-01858-2.
28. Pavan JS, Patel NB, Raghunandan BL, Baldaniya AM, Bhatt NA (2024). Comparative efficacy of nucleopolyhedrovirus (NPV) alone and in conjunction with chemical insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) under laboratory conditions. *Int J Trop Insect Sci.* 1-12.

29. Pavan JS, Patel NB, Raghunandan BL, Gouda MNR, Ahmed AM, Alansi S (2024). Natural occurrence, infection dynamics, and molecular characterization of nucleopolyhedrovirus (SpfrNPV) in fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) from maize ecosystems in Gujarat, India. J King Saud Univ Sci. 2024;103274.
30. Harrison RL, Hoover K (2012). Baculovirus–insect interactions. Curr Opin Virol. 2(4):274-80. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2012.03.005.
31. Harrison RL, Puttler B, Popham HJR (2008). Genomic sequence analysis of a fast-killing isolate of *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus. J Gen Virol. 89(4):775-90. doi:10.1099/vir.0.83493-0.
32. Maciel-Vergara R, Ros V (2017). Baculoviruses in biological control: Advances and applications. Biol Control. 113:44-58. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol. 07.010.
33. Popham HJ, Rowley DL, Harrison RL (2021). Differential insecticidal properties of *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates against corn-strain and rice-strain fall armyworm, and genomic analysis of three isolates. J. Invertebr. Pathol.1;183:107561.
34. Haase S, Weidemann R, Bomeke R (2015). Baculoviruses in biotechnology: Applications and vectors. Curr Opin Virol. 13:25-30. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2015.02.004.
35. Jakubowska A, Kuczynska E, Kasprzak M (2015). Comparative study on the virulence and genetic diversity of *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates. J Invertebr Pathol. 127:19–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2015.03.007.
36. Käfer N, Gherna R (2019). Baculoviruses as biopesticides: History, development, and current status. J Invertebr Pathol. 162:54–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2019.03.001.
37. Millán-Leiva L, Cervantes J (2006). Efficacy of *Spodoptera frugiperda* nucleopolyhedrovirus for control of fall armyworm. Pest Manag Sci. 62(4):381–7. doi:10.1002/ps.1165.
38. Choi JH, Lee HS, Kim KK (2012). Comparison of the susceptibility of corn- and rice-strain larvae of *Spodoptera frugiperda* to *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus. J Invertebr Pathol. 111(3):218–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2012.06.001.
39. Frey JE, Silva M, Blay S (2016). Field effectiveness of a *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolate. Pestic Sci.72(3):601–7. doi:10.1002/ps.3976.

40. Carballo VL, de León JH, Zamora JA (2020). Evaluation of *Spodoptera littoralis* nucleopolyhedrovirus against *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Biol Control. 149:104320. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104320.
41. Carballo VL, Rodriguez JP, Lasa R (2017). Evaluation of the biological efficacy of *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates for the control of fall armyworm. Pestic Biochem Physiol. 135:68–76. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.09.005.
42. Schroeder L, Mar TB, Haynes JR, Wang R, Wempe L, Goodin MM (2019). Host range and population survey of *Spodoptera frugiperda* rhabdovirus. J. Virol. ;93(6):10-128.
43. Morales JF, Sánchez-Pérez JM, Bracoletti J (2014). A review on the utilization of *Spodoptera frugiperda* nucleopolyhedrovirus for control of fall armyworm. J Pest Sci.87(3):387–400. doi:10.1007/s10340-014-0608-4.
44. Pavan JS, Dodiya RD, Gouda MR, Raghunandan BL, Patel NB, Rajarushi CN (2024). Report of *Maruca vitrata* (F) nucleopolyhedrovirus. Indian J Entomol. 1-4.
45. Lei Z, Gao Z, Li H (2020). Characterization of two genotypes of *Spodoptera frugiperda* multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (*SfMNPV*) isolated from China. Virus Res.; 281:197915. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres. 197915.
46. Rao PK, Kumar N (2022). Field efficacy of different isolates of *Spodoptera frugiperda* nucleopolyhedrovirus for managing fall armyworm. J Insect Sci. 22(1):12–21. doi:10.1093/jisesa/icab045.
47. Barreto MR, Guimaraes CT, Teixeira FF, Paiva E, Valicente FH (2005). Effect of baculovirus *Spodoptera* isolates in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae and their characterization by RAPD. Neotrop Entomol. 34(1):67–75. doi:10.1590/S1519-566X2005000100011.
48. Ahmed G Hussain , Jörg T Wennmann , Georg Goergen , Astrid Bryon , Vera I D Ros Viruses of the Fall Armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*: A Review with Prospects for Biological Control 10.3390/v13112220.