

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122392
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF EFFECTS OF MICRO PLASTICS ON THE GROWTH OF PLANTS IN AGRICULTURAL SOIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nowadays, microplastics are a growing concern among farmers. Crops are not produced due to the presence of microplastics in agricultural fields. So, this paper has significant value for modern agriculture. However, it lacks scientific details. I provided some paper to add information.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	How did you this work? In which method? Summarize every work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. OK	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Those manuscripts help you a lot. Add literature review and cite those papers. Add discussion. Improve the graph's quality. Islam, M. S., Mondal, S., Angon, P. B., & Jalil, M. A. (2023). Accumulation of microplastics in agroecosystems and its effects on terrestrial plants: A short review. <i>Current Applied Science and Technology</i> , 10-55003. Mondol, M., Angon, P. B., & Roy, A. (2024). Effects of microplastics on soil physical, chemical and biological properties. <i>Natural Hazards Research</i> . Angon, P. B., Mondal, S., Das, A., Uddin, M. S., & Eva, A. A. (2024). Micro-nanoplastics in the Environment: Current Research and Trends. <i>Management of Micro and Nano-plastics in Soil and Biosolids: Fate, Occurrence, Monitoring, and Remedies</i> , 119-142.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<u>Major</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Try to improve the language.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prodipto Bishnu Angon
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh