

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122337
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Climatic Factors on Rust Severity in Sorghum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is very important for the world as means of achieving Food Security by providing a ample analysis of the relationship between climatic factors and the incidence of rust in sorghum. I appreciate this article 's thorough approach and its potential to inform agricultural stakeholders on effective agricultural practices to mitigate adverse climatic conditions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Influence of Climatic Factors on Rust Severity in Sorghum" is clear and defines the article, however it will be nice to include the name of the study area to ensure clarity. Example: Influence of Climatic Factors on Rust Severity in Sorghum in XYZ Country</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive and clearly shares the key elements of the study, including its purpose, methodology, and findings. However, it will be nicer to give brief information about the context for lay audience. Also the purpose of the study should be clearly be communicated to the readers. Example: "This article aims to identify and quantify the relationship between specific weather factors and the incidence of sorghum rust, to inform decision-making on disease management strategies."</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is very robust and technically sound for the world as means of achieving Food Security by providing a ample analysis of the relationship between climatic factors and the incidence of rust in sorghum. I appreciate this article 's thorough approach and its potential to inform agricultural stakeholders on effective agricultural practices to mitigate adverse climatic conditions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kwabena Bayity
Department, University & Country	Auburn University, United States