

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_122091
Title of the Manuscript:	Sickle Cell Disease Revealed by Simultaneous Occurrence of Acute Coronary Syndrome and Pulmonary Embolism: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work described in this manuscript is important in the scientific community, as it presents a newly observed variant behaviour of Sickle Cell disease .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and has carried all the important variables needed to inform readers about the content of the work	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article needs to be rewritten, it should capture details of the complication, and test method	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Authors should endeavour to include theoretical background to let the research community have theoretical foundation on the topic. Methodology is missing, Results section should be included. All images in the article should be described in 3-4 sentences.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presents an observed fatal case of Sickle cell complication, if published, other researchers in this field will have enough material and practical evidence to conduct more research aiming to find an improved solution to sickle cell issues. Scientifically, there is a huge contribution since the article present an observed case.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are not sufficient and recent. The authors should endeavour to use recent references. 2019-2024 articles are recommended, this is to help the authors discover the current state of the art in this area of research	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Author should arrange the article well by including important sections and subsections. This would aid readers navigate the article easily when reading it. All images should be described.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Effiong Edet
Department, University & Country	Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria