

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_120429
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiac Rehabilitation after BENTALL surgery: Case report with literature review
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript holds significant importance for scientific community due to its potential to advance knowledge in a critical area of study. It contributes to solving pressing scientific challenge of rehabilitation after cardiac surgery. As cardiac disease are prevalence are increasing from 7% to 15 %. Hence the article is really useful for the community and scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. Although it will be recommended to add the prevalence rate of the disease and probability of patients to undergo the cardiac surgery to show the importance of the article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is recommended for the manuscript to follow IMRAD format	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article should be written in IMRAD format to cover all the aspect of case report. Methodology and result is missing from the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhu Ranjan
Department, University & Country	Sri Paripoorna Sanathana Ayurveda Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, India