

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121722
Title of the Manuscript:	A Systematic Literature Review on the Mentorship of Early Career Teachers in Rural Appalachia: Overcoming Challenges and Enhancing Student Success
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This study is important for newly recruited teachers as it highlights the challenges they face during the initial period of their professional journey. It also presents the literature perspectives on the subject matter and conclusion is drawn based on research findings. So, it provides improved knowledge for the teachers who wish to carry their teaching profession.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is catchy, an alternate refined title could be: A Systematic Review of Mentorship for Early Career Teachers in Rural Appalachia: Addressing Challenges and Promoting Student Success</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract is comprehensive as it highlights the overall themes of study, i.e. aims, methodology, findings and discussion. If few recommendations are added, it may improve this section too.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, appropriate and logically arranged.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This study has focussed an important theme, followed the research protocols and all the sections are chronologically arranged. So, it depicts out scientific correctness.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>Yes, they are sufficient, reflecting a good mix of recent and previous studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, very few additions/deletions/rephrasing may further improve scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is one of the important additions to current literature. It has formally addressed the research protocols and content is logically-coherent.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sultan Alam
Department, University & Country	Aga Khan University, Pakistan