

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_121343
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Sponge City for Controlling Surface Runoff Pollution
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Flooding has emerged as a major global problem for modern urban water management strategies and sustainable development, and this paper is important for solving this problem.</p> <p>This paper is useful for the scientific community to increase the groundwater level and expand the green space.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes, the title is appropriate for this article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript.	This manuscript is scientifically and technically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references in the manuscript are proper, recent, and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F. A. Samiul Islam
Department, University & Country	Uttara University, Bangladesh