

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122029
Title of the Manuscript:	Rooting for Change: Unveiling Farmers' Bio-Input Awareness and Knowledge Across Tamil Nadu's Agro-Climatic Zones
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

# Review Form 3

## PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The findings of this manuscript could inform policy decisions to promote the use of bio-inputs in agriculture. By providing evidence-based insights, it highlights the need to reengineer extension strategies to better meet farmers' specific needs, ensuring more effective adoption and sustainable agricultural practices.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology, comprehensive data analysis, and thorough literature review. The research design is well-structured, ensuring that the results are reliable and reproducible. The authors have also carefully validated their findings against existing studies, strengthening the credibility of their conclusions.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Chandan Kumar Panda
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India