

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_121822
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence Of Ti Doping On The Optical And Structural Properties Of Zntio Thin Films Deposited By Electrodeposition Technique
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- The manuscript is distinguished by valuable and authentic information. 2- It can be used in many optical and electrical applications. 3- It needs some minor modifications which are mentioned later.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The article summary is comprehensive but lacks some numbers. It is preferable to mention numbers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- The manuscript is correct because it contains accurate scientific information supported by reliable references. 2- The manuscript is scientifically strong because it compares the work with other scientifically reliable manuscripts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some modifications to the manuscript</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Lines should be numbered so that the reviewer can identify the questions. 2- Delete the word (ref) before the reference 5. 3- Some analysis should be added about the reason for the decrease in absorbance when adding the first three concentrations at frequencies (400-600). 4- Reducing the number of references from 16 to 24 and relying on only one reference. 5- Equation 1 is not found in reference 26. 6- Calculate the energy gap for the concentration of 10 ml again. There is also another energy gap for the concentration of 6 ml that was not mentioned. 7- It is preferable to put the energy gap values in a table to make it easier for the reader to compare. 8- Why is the Scherrer formula not mentioned in XRD analysis? 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Hassanein S. Suhail</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Iraq</p>