

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_121382</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies of the acute and subacute toxicity of the aqueous extract of the leaves of Ocimum gratissimum (Lamiaceae) in the mouse Mus musculus</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is importance for scientific community to known the profile of the aqueous extract	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Toxicological profile of aqueous extract of the leaves of <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> (Lamiaceae) in the mouse <i>Mus musculus</i>	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not adequate and not consistent with some findigs. It should be recast	Noted and corrected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are not appropriate	Noted and corrected
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is robust because it address the profile of the leaf extract but results should be well discussed in line with findings	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are 51% old	Noted and corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is good but spell errors	Noted and corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		