

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_121217</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of bioformulation on growth, yield and quality of black cumin (<i>Nigella sativa</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The title is interesting in the scientific community. Bio fertilizer is better than the synthetic fertilizer. Because the synthetic fertilizer have a side effect on human health. The bio formulation fertilizer is not chronic disease. However, the effectiveness of the two fertilizer should be compare.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>It may change to</b>  'Performance evaluation of bio formulation fertilizer for the growth, yield and quality of black cumin ( <i>Nigella sativa</i> L.) ,	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The author should be clearly stated the nobility of the research. The author must be cite properly in each sentence, specifically in the introduction part. The method and result must be supported by the previous work. If this work is noble, the author should showed the nobility of the article.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	<b>I do not know the reference citation style in this journal. The reference is not automatically cite in the paper. The author must use Mandalay or other reference tools. The reference of this article is not much enough. For each result and discussion, it should be support by literature.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Partly	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Comment 1. From result and discussion part, table or figure is enough to describe the effects. Comment 2. <b>The controller should be specified. I.e. T6 is a controller (what kind of controller you used)</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yassin Adem Endris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wollo University, Kombolcha Institute of Technology, Ethiopia</b>