

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121117
Title of the Manuscript:	Wild genetic resource in vegetable improvement: applications and strategies
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is interesting how wild genetic traits to be use in novel vegetable development as those may contains competitive gene that resistance to harsh environmental conditions, diseases, and weedicides. Same time wild genes proves to be contains many healthy nutritional than novel hybridize vegetables.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improve grammer	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some of paragraphs must be included more than one references to make it scientifically stronger writing. Need to add few more examples to make it more technically sound manuscript. Since sufficient data provided from old and new collaboration of the research this is touch the scientific background	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient, add more references in the introduction section	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It should improve the language and grammar. Use scientific words.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.H.M Jayasingha
Department, University & Country	University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka