

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122607
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Above-Ground Biomass and different Vegetation Indices of Tea Plantation of Alipurduar district, West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript investigates relationships which are in need of examination. The use of remote sensing is an asset to understanding these relationships. The results are important for management in a time of significant climate change.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As someone who is not a expert on this subject the manuscript appears to me to be a valuable contribution to the scientific literature. I find the subject easy to read and understand. From my background years ago as a professor in a Faculty of Forestry, the subject is treated in an accurate and useful manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Most of my comments are a result of inconsistency in the use of format. For example, if you are using abbreviations the first time for mention a term, Above-Ground Biomass (AGB), then why do you mention both the name and the abbreviation each time. This seems redundant.</p> <p>Proper nouns are capitalized, Allpurduar District, the "D" is capitalized some times and not in others, I changed to all capitals to be consistent and accurate.</p> <p>In the References, when an author has more than one initial, no space is required between the initials, I removed the spaces so that the manuscript would be consistent.</p> <p>References 10-12 should be in chronological order since the 3 authors are the same and in the same order. References 8 & 9 should be reversed, single author comes before multiple authors. The author(s) have used an alphabetical style, but it needs to be consistent.</p> <p>The suggestions I make are for consistency and are easily applied without affecting the reading or scientific validity of the manuscript.</p> <p>Therefore. my recommendation is to accept the manuscript with these minor corrections.</p> <p>I have no objection if you want to make my name available to the author(s).</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>John Warren Reynolds</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Canada</p>