

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_122232
Title of the Manuscript:	Mosquiticidal Effect of Cola millenii Leaf Extracts
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><i>Anopheles stephensi</i> is a primary vector for malaria, a disease that remains a significant public health challenge, especially in tropical and subtropical regions. Discovering new, effective methods to control this vector can directly contribute to reducing malaria transmission and improving public health outcomes. This research potentially identifies <i>Cola millenii</i> as a source of natural compounds that could be developed into mosquitoicides, offering a sustainable solution to mosquito control.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article seems to be general, it needs to be more scientific and specific The Efficacy of <i>Cola millenii</i> K. Schum. (Malvaceae) against malarial vector <i>Anopheles stephensi</i> (Diptera: Culicidae)</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract should accurately present the article's aims, argument, results, and conclusions—the present abstract should emphasize the result section more.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The introduction should clearly articulate the research gap and the significance of your study. Try to position your study as valuable and how your research can contribute to this field. This study employs rigorous, well-planned experimental methods with appropriate controls.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No suggestion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language needs some correction with some grammatical errors to be rectified.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reena Pappachan George
Department, University & Country	St. Thomas College, India