

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_121569</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Unlocking the Potential of Common Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.): A Key Ingredient for Smallholder Farmers' Livelihood and Income Generation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review paper</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1. Common beans are a crucial source of protein, vitamins, and minerals, making them vital for food security and nutrition in developing regions.</p> <p>2. The manuscript's focus on the agronomic, economic, and social benefits of common bean cultivation provides valuable insights into sustainable agricultural practices and their impact on rural communities.</p> <p>3. I appreciate this manuscript because it not only highlights the multifaceted benefits of common beans but also emphasizes practical strategies for improving smallholder farming systems.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No need to add or delete	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure and subsections are in good format	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1. It is based on extensive empirical research and data, which provides a solid foundation for the conclusions drawn.</p> <p>2. The manuscript employs rigorous methodologies, including field trials and socioeconomic analyses, ensuring the reliability and validity of the results.</p> <p>3. It effectively integrates interdisciplinary perspectives, combining agronomy, economics, and social sciences to offer a comprehensive understanding of the impact of common bean cultivation.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference is sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and English quality is good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is a valuable contribution to the field of sustainable agriculture and rural development, offering both theoretical insights and practical solutions to improve the livelihoods of smallholder farmers.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tarun Tomar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>