

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_121740
Title of the Manuscript:	Heuristics for the Intelligent Prediction of Population Growth
Type of the Article	Scientific Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research work typically explores the recent issues and burning case to be explored. I really enjoyed the manuscript and hereby claim that this research can be a landmark in the study of population and implementation of machine learning in its study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title fits to the study. The title ' Heuristics for the Intelligent Prediction of Population Growth' puts an insight about the new model to the study of population growth.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of 255 words seem enough to sum the gist of the study. The presentation of introduction, objective, methodology, finding and recommendation seem appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the journal has the standard format and the sections and subsections are properly managed to avail the information and cohesively ordered.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study collects the scientifically correct sentence. The wide variety of lexical resources enrich the level of the journal. The data and calculation presented provide the sufficient exploration and experiment of the formula. I really appreciate the test made in the study which statistically proves the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are altogether 42 references. The collections of the references relate to the same to the field of the study and relevant to the topic of study. The most of the references are recent and relevant to the study and properly match to the study.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The standard of the language is appropriate. The academic selection and collection of the words best fit to the standard to the journal.	
Optional/General comments	The journal is publishable after the minor correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uttam Aryal
Department, University & Country	Nepal