

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_122065
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of The Relationship Between Mindfulness and Post-Earthquake Trauma Levels of Sports Sciences Faculty Students Who Take and Don't Take Folk Dance Lesson
Type of the Article	Original research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is the result of a niche, original research on a relevant topic. Methodologically, it is a sound quantitative approach, demonstrating the convergence of results with other relevant studies. The only recommendations pertain to minor editorial corrections and the citation of bibliographic sources.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes. In the second line, there is a typo with the letter "o.": dOn't. In the third line, there is a sentence construction error: Data were collected with Özyeşil et al. (2011) It was collected with the Mindfulness Scale In the sixth line, there is a sentence construction error: According to the research results; It was observed ... It is not recommended to include bibliographic sources in the abstract; instead, only a general presentation of the main ideas should be provided. Therefore, the two bibliographic sources in the abstract should be removed, leaving only the ideas. It is also not recommended to include specific scores: 64.21±17.10 on PETLDS.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research addresses a niche topic, but one that is particularly relevant in the context of areas frequently affected by earthquakes, which lead to traumatic consequences. The adopted methodology is quantitative and has been correctly applied. The sample is well-balanced according to the analyzed variables, and the instruments used have been validated through previous studies and specific tests. The results obtained are consistent with those reported by other studies in the field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The bibliography is appropriate. However, there are some formatting errors both in the in-text citations and in the final reference list. Page 2: Kabat and Zinn, 2000; correct is Kabat-Zinn, 2000 (it is only one author, with 2 names); Page 2: According to Kabat-Zinn, ... correct is Kabat-Zinn (2000), ... Page 2: DSM-IV (1994) correct is: DSM-IV (1995) Page 9: Karacan-Doğan (2023) correct is: Karacan-Doğan et al. (2023) In the references: Akandere, M. & Demir, B. (2011). The effect of dancing over depression. Collegium Anthropologicum. 35(3), 651-656. Correct is (as it is indicated at https://hrcak.srce.hr/clanak/107423): Akandere, M. & Demir, B. (2011). The effect of dance over depression. Collegium Anthropologicum. 35(3), 651-656. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2000). Indra's net at work: The mainstreaming of Dharma practice in society. In G. Watson & S. Batchelor (Eds.), The psychology of awakening: Buddhism, science, and our day-to-day lives (pp. 225–249). North Beach, ME: Weiser. Correct is: Kabat-Zinn, J. (2000). Indra's net at work: The mainstreaming of Dharma practice in society. In G. Watson, S. Batchelor, & G. Claxton (Eds.), The psychology of awakening: Buddhism, science, and our day-to-day lives (pp. 225–249). North Beach, ME: Weiser. Karasar, N. (2024) Scientific research method. (26th Edition). Ankara: Nobel. Correct is: Karasar, N. (2014) Scientific research method. (26th Edition). Ankara: Nobel. Özgreen, Z., Arslan, C., Kesici, Ş. & Deniz, M. E. (2011). A study on adapting the mindfulness scale to</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>Turkish Education and Science, 36(160), 224-235 Correct is: Özyeşil, Z., Arslan, C., Kesici, Ş., & Deniz, M. E. (2011). Adaptation of the Mindful attention awareness scale into Turkish. Education and Science, 36(160), 224-235</p> <p>It is recommended to check the formatting using the show/hide button—there are hidden characters in the reference list for the authors Baer and Elçi et al., likely due to copying the sources from the internet.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	A well-conducted research, interesting for a niche field. There are few recommendations, mainly targeting minor formatting errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irina-Teodora Manolescu
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania