

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_122065
Titleofthe Manuscript:	InvestigationofTheRelationshipBetweenMindfulnessand Post-EarthquakeTraumaLevelsof SportsSciencesFacultyStudentsWhoTakeandDon'tTakeFolk Dance Lesson
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalArticle

ReviewForm3

PART1:Review Comments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between mindfulness and post-earthquake trauma levels of sports sciences faculty students who do or do not take folk dance lessons. Although the authors have clearly defined the type of traumatic event (earthquake) as well as the type of dance lesson (folk), the results obtained in this study can be expanded to include several types of traumatic events. Additionally, the variables considered, such as mindfulness and dance, are also widespread practices currently used for better mental health and psychological control, as well as aerobic exercise with social interaction for better physical health. In recent years, the number of people who dedicate part of their time to mental and physical self-care has increased. Thus, the objective of this research is extremely current and the results obtained are of great relevance for coping with highly stressful situations with traumatic consequences.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the manuscript seems adequate to delimit the topic addressed in the study. However, the title is a bit long. Therefore, one suggestion would be to reduce the size of the title, making it more succinct. For example: Relation Between Mindfulness and Post-Earthquake Trauma Level of Students Who Take and Don't Take Folk Dance Lesson</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive and presents a clear synthesis of the content presented in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well organized and structured into appropriate sections, according to the type of scientific work presented. The text is written clearly and with appropriate scientific language.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The introduction fluidly discusses the main determinants of the study, providing a brief but well-founded and informative review of each of the determinants considered in this research. The aims proposed by the authors were well defined, and the chosen methodology was suitable for their investigation. The research results were well described in a direct way, and were also clearly presented in the form of tables. The discussion of the results was elegantly carried out and well based on publications in the area, demonstrating a deep study of the topic, mastery of the content and critical vision of the authors. Finally, the conclusion presented by the authors responded to the aims proposed in the study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The references in this manuscript seem adequate. With 37 references listed, the authors demonstrate that they have carried out an extensive review of the literature, considering articles with years of publication from 1972 up to 2024. Of the 37 references listed, 15 references are from publications in the last 5 years, also demonstrating the current nature of the research carried out by the authors.</p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is elegantly written in appropriate language, well-founded scientifically, and structurally organized according to the type of study.</p>	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Quenia Gomes da Silva Allahdadi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil