

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121363</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence and Impacts of Body Shaming on Social Media among University Students in Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses the pressing issue of body shaming on social media among university students in Bangladesh. The study highlights the significant impact of body shaming on mental health and self-esteem, particularly among female students. By focusing on this issue, the research provides valuable insights into the psychological and social consequences of body shaming, offering a foundation for developing targeted interventions. The manuscript's emphasis on a multi-faceted approach, including educational reforms and public awareness campaigns, underscores its relevance and potential to influence policy and practice.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is suitable but could be made more specific to capture the focus on university students and the Bangladeshi context.</p> <p>A suggested alternative title could be: "Impact of Body Shaming on Mental Health and Self-Esteem Among University Students in Bangladesh: A Study of Social Media Influences."</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provides a good overview of the study's objectives, findings, and recommendations. However, it would benefit from a brief mention of the methodology used, including sample size and data collection methods. This addition would enhance the reader's understanding of how the conclusions were drawn.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and logically organized. The paper effectively presents the problem, methodology, findings, and recommendations in a coherent manner. The scientific correctness of the manuscript is supported by a clear articulation of the issues surrounding body shaming and its impacts. The integration of literature and real-world examples strengthens the manuscript's robustness and technical soundness.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The references are sufficient and relevant, covering a range of studies related to body shaming, mental health, and social media. However, the manuscript would benefit from including more recent studies or systematic reviews on body shaming and its impacts. Additionally, references to global studies or comparative research might provide a broader context.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, some sentences could be refined for clarity and conciseness. Attention to grammar and stylistic consistency will improve the overall readability of the manuscript.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript presents a well-researched and relevant topic. To further enhance its impact, consider expanding the discussion on potential solutions and interventions based on the study's findings. Including qualitative data or personal narratives from students could also add depth to the analysis. Overall, the manuscript is a valuable contribution to the discourse on body shaming and social media, with potential implications for policy and educational practices.</p> <p>This manuscript is well-researched, provides significant insights into the prevalence and impact of body shaming among university students in Bangladesh, and offers actionable recommendations. While it is robust and scientifically sound, minor revisions are needed to enhance clarity, improve language quality, and possibly expand on some points in the abstract and conclusions.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umesh Bindal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Griffith University, Australia</b>