

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_121883</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integrating Circular Economy Principles in Urban Development for Sustainable Cities in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it offers practical solutions for sustainable urban development in Nigeria through Circular Economy principles. The comparative analysis with Lebanon provides unique insights, making it relevant and applicable to other regions. I like the manuscript for its potential impact on urban planning and policy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it clearly reflects the article's focus on integrating Circular Economy principles in urban development for sustainable cities in Nigeria. It effectively communicates the main theme and geographic focus of the study. No alternative title is needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, effectively outlining the key focus on integrating Circular Economy principles in Nigeria's urban development. However, it could be enhanced by briefly mentioning the specific challenges Nigeria faces in urbanization and a summary of the strategic recommendations. No deletions are necessary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate, regarding this there is no issue.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, effectively addressing Nigeria's urban sustainability challenges through the lens of Circular Economy (CE) principles. The comparison with Lebanon's experience is particularly insightful, offering valuable lessons that are applicable to the Nigerian context. The integration of case studies and best practices strengthens the paper's practical relevance and credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, more references need to be added just 20 are not enough.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of English is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Navneet Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies, India</b>