Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_121433**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSING THE USE AND DIVERSITY OF THE COMMON ETHNOMEDICINAL FLORA OF PANGI- A COLD DESERT REGION OF NORTH-WEST HIMALAYAS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This area is difficult to explore due to harsh geography coupled with poor road connectivity. Therefore, the present study is important to document those medicinal plants before extinction and to propagate them.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Yes, of course**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes, it is comprehensive. Furthermore, if the authors add plant parts, preparation methods and route of administration is good.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**May be the structure of the manuscript different based on the guidelines of the journal. However, if possible, add the reconnaissance survey date, sampling design, ethnobotanical data collection (how to collect data = structure, semi-structured questionnaire). The manuscript lacks how to analyse or summarized the data (ethnobotanical parameters such as informant consensus, fidelity level, direct matric and others). I recommend the Author to see this article.** Bhat, M.N.; Singh, B.; Surmal, O.; Singh, B.; Shivgotra, V.; Musarella, C.M. Ethnobotany of the Himalayas: Safeguarding Medical Practices and Traditional Uses of Kashmir Regions. Biology 2021, 10, 851. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biology10090851	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The manuscript reflects the usage of medicinal plants in the study area and good for searching new bioactive molecules.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**Most of the references are old. Revise as much as possible. Many recent ethnobotanical researches have been doing abroad the world. Therefore carefully, revise latest published article and update the reference especially below 2006 years.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes, it well written.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Abebe Ayele Haile**
Department, University & Country	**Debre Birhan University, Ethiopia**