Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Archives of Current Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACRI_120582**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determination of Association for yield and yield related traits in an RIL population of Green gram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilezek)**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Articles**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community due to its innovative approach in the field of agronomy. It makes a significant contribution by clarifying previously unexplored concepts and proposing promising methods for future research. I particularly appreciate this manuscript for its methodological rigor and its ability to open new perspectives in the field.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract is complete; however, I made a few modifications to the text.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**yes**	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology, comprehensive data analysis, and adherence to established theoretical frameworks in the field. The authors meticulously validated their results through multiple experimental approaches and provided detailed statistical analyses to support their conclusions. Overall, its adherence to scientific principles and methodological rigor enhances its contribution to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	**the references in the discussion are sufficient, but those in the introduction are unresponsive.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kouakou Adjoua Dorcas**
Department, University & Country	**Nangui Abrogoua University, Côte d'Ivoire**