

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_121990
Title of the Manuscript:	Female Domestic Workers in Urban Informal Employment: The Case of Hossana City, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper provides a deeper insight into the interesting and important topic of domestic workers. The research was well designed and conducted. It is also well supported and compared with the results of similar research in the world. The results and conclusions can contribute to better protection of women's rights worldwide	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES, but titles of the sections should be standardized regarding the font	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The target population was appropriately defined. The sample size formula is well explained and transparent. The questionnaire included relevant question groups like socio-demographic questions, questions about employers' family size, factors motivating women to become domestic workers, and challenges faced by domestic workers. The applied statistical methods are suitable for processing data collected by questionnaire.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES. Language is suitable. Suggestion: In a few sentences in section 3.1. Sample and Sampling Techniques briefly explain the administrative structure of Ethiopia and explain the term "kebele". This would contribute to a better understanding of the research organization by international readers. Also, on page 14, in line 3, it is written " (8.9 " and should be written " (8.9%). "	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Denis Cvitkovic
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia