

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122079</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of the different substrates for composting in the cultivation of Agaricus bisporus under the agro-ecological condition of West Bengal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mushroom cultivation is very important for food industry due to its nutritional value. The present study deals with method of compost preparation for cultivation of Agaricus bisporus. Different supplements applied and most suitable is paddy straw and maize stalk 1:1 ratio as reported by authors of study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Minor changes/suggestion is mentioned in manuscript file	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is Ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Result and discussion section is poor. Results are not compared and contrasted with existing literature.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Keeping in view the nutritional importance of mushroom the manuscript is good for public interest. The way in which data is presented in not too sound. Discussion section is entirely omitted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Less number of citations are incorporated and latest references are not provided. Total 10 references are not sufficient to support the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript should be read by a person well versed in English	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukhtar Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Govt. Boys College Sokasan Bhimber, Pakistan