

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121525</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>FIELD EFFICACY OF BIOCAPSULES OF LECANICILLIUM LECANII (ZIMMERMANN) ZARE AND GAMS AND LECANICILLIUM SAKSENAE (KUSHWAHA) KURIHARA AND SUKARNO FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF COWPEA APHID APHIS CRACCIVORA KOCH (HOMOPTERA: APHIDIDAE)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is original and in line with the innovative initiatives in eco-system friendly pest management system. I like the article because it is an organic approach which is safe and sustainable. The article provided hope for the amelioration of health hazards attributable to conventional method of pest control.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest a modification as follows:  FIELD EFFICACY OF BIOCAPSULES OF <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> (ZIMMERMANN) ZARE AND GAMS, AND <i>Lecanicillium saksenae</i> (KUSHWAHA) KURIHARA AND SUKARNO, FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF COWPEA APHID, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> KOCH (HOMOPTERA: APHIDIDAE)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No.  There was nothing on data analysis and means separation, why?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript expressly addressed the emerging need for sustainanle eco-friendly pest management system. It was evidently effective management system. It was original	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No. Scores of the literature cited in the text were not listed in References. Additionally, most of the References were stale and no longer hold.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Accept after the minor corrections are effected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Commented [JJ1]: Too wordy  
Commented [JJ2R1]: Recast and replace cowpea aphid with *Aphis craccivora*

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jonathan Jeremiah Atungwu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria</b>