

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121311
Title of the Manuscript:	G × E interactions and stability analysis for grain yield, its component traits and quality parameters in finger millet [Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn.]
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Due to dynamic environmental change, a brief knowledge of genotype x environmental interaction gives an insight on differential performance of genotypes under different environments. In this manuscript, author identified some genotype which show stable performance across the test environments which may help resist the environmental fluctuations and perform better. Author also try to highlight the nutritional characters which may add the value of manuscript and significance of findings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested title name: Assessment of G x E interactions and stability parameters for quality traits, grain yield per plant and its components in finger millet [<i>Eleusine coracana</i> (L.) Gaertn.]	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but need to add the comprehensive need of this work in very brief.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically sound and written their findings in systematic manner, tables are also arranged in systematic however advise to incorporate the suggestion given in comment section of manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are on or before 2020, suggested to incorporate the few recent and relevant references. Format of the references need to be modified according to the journal guideline.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammar and typo mistakes that need to be rectify.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niranjn Kumar Chaurasia
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, Nagaland