

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_120543
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of COVID-19 on River and Fisheries: A Comprehensive Guide
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This article is important for scientific communication as it provides comprehensive information on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on aquatic environments, including rivers and fisheries. The article analyzes various aspects such as changes in water quality, fish biodiversity, and the impact on the aquaculture and fisheries industry. This information can be valuable for researchers, policymakers, and other stakeholders interested in this topic. Overall, this article offers valuable insights and can serve as an important reference in scientific communication regarding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on aquatic environments.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The content of the article "Impact of COVID-19 on River and Fisheries: A Comprehensive Guide" is quite consistent with the discussion presented in the article. Here are a few reasons why:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article comprehensively discusses the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on aquatic environments, particularly rivers and the fisheries sector. 2. The article covers various affected aspects, such as water quality, fish biodiversity, the aquaculture industry, and fishing activities. 3. The scope of the discussion encompasses the changes that have occurred in aquatic environments due to the pandemic, both positive and negative. <p>Overall, the article provides a comprehensive guide or overview of the impact of COVID-19 on rivers and the fisheries sector. Therefore, the title of the article adequately represents and reflects the comprehensive content and discussion presented within the article.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of this article needs to clearly state the research objective, which is to identify and analyze the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on aquatic environments, particularly rivers and the fisheries sector. The methods used in this research should be explained, such as whether it is a literature review or an empirical survey. The abstract should also present the main research findings, such as changes in water quality, impacts on fish biodiversity, and impacts on the fisheries and aquaculture industries. In the conclusion section, the abstract should provide a summary of the research findings and the implications or recommendations that can be derived from the results. With a more organized structure for the abstract, readers will have a clear understanding of the purpose, methods, key findings, and conclusions of the study reported in this article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Although this article has comprehensively discussed the impact of COVID-19 on rivers and fisheries, its structure is not yet fully aligned with the standard format of a scientific journal publication. The article needs to be supplemented with clearer subsections, such as an introduction that outlines the research objectives, a methods section that explains the approach used, a results section that presents the findings systematically, and a conclusion that summarizes the key findings and their implications. The addition of a more organized structure with standard sections for a scientific journal article will enhance the quality of the presentation and facilitate the reader's comprehensive understanding of this article.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript presents several scientifically sound findings. For instance, the statement about the decrease in tourism activity impacting the decline in India's economy, as well as the reduction in demand affecting the aquaculture industry, is consistent with the facts that occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, the discussion on changes in water quality, such as the increase in plastic waste but the improvement in water quality due to the reduction in human activity, is also scientifically plausible. Furthermore, the impact of the pandemic on fish biodiversity, namely the recovery of fish populations due to the decrease in industrial pollution, is a finding that can be scientifically justified. Similarly, the discussion on the impact of the pandemic on fishing activities and aquaculture production, which experienced an increase during lockdowns but an overall decrease in production, is also well-grounded. Technically, this manuscript appears to have a strong and logical scientific basis, although it requires refinement in terms of its structure to align with the standard format of a scientific journal publication.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Based on the available information, the article references above do not seem sufficiently up-to-date. Some points that need to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The majority of the references used appear to be from 2020 or early 2021, which was the 	

Review Form 3

:	<p>initial period of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, this article was written in 2024, so more recent and updated references are needed to reflect the latest developments regarding the impact of the pandemic.</p> <p>2. The references used are still predominantly from media or news sources, rather than from scientific journals or more credible academic publications. To enhance the quality of the scientific article, the use of references from journals, reports, and other reputable academic sources would be highly recommended.</p> <p>Therefore, it can be concluded that the references used in this article are not sufficiently current and comprehensive according to the standards of good scientific journal writing. Updating and adding more current and diverse references will greatly help to improve the quality of this article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This article needs to use more formal and structured English language. To that end, it is recommended to utilize the available paraphrasing services.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this article still requires a few improvements to align it with the standards of good scientific writing, such as refinement of the structure, the use of more formal language, a more systematic presentation of ideas, and the use of more comprehensive and up-to-date references.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masudin Sangaji
Department, University & Country	Pattimura University, Indonesia