

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121913
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic effects of prebiotics and probiotics on the performance and physiology of juvenile Nile tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this study In aquaculture, probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics are added to feed as feed supplements to enhance fish health and survival. They can be regarded as a secure and sustainable substitute for medications and antibiotics, microbes involved in immunity, digestion, and mental well-being. In fish tissues, certain probiotic species stimulate lysozyme to aid in the defence against bacterial infections.fiber-producing substances for probiotics. Fish innate immunity can be directly impacted by prebiotics in the form of immunosaccharides.probiotics and prebiotics combined. The goal of synbiotics is to optimise the colonisation and activity of probiotics in the fish's gut by fostering a nutrient-rich environment. The fact that supplemental feeds can improve fish health and growth is why I find this research interesting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be in scientific manner as "Studies on symbiotic effects of probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics of juvenile Nile tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	My suggestions – The use of MOS additives and probiotics improved both the development and health status of Nile tilapia juveniles (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) throughout their growth. The use of the SIMB II dose (4 g.kg-1 of probiotic + 4 g.kg-1 MOS) is recommended it should be changed as Throughout their growth, the development and health status of juvenile Oreochromis niloticus tilapia were enhanced by the application of MOS additives and probiotics. It is advised to use the SIMB II dosage (4 g.kg-1 of probiotic + 4 g.kg-1 MOS).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of this article are in an appropriate manner, but tables should be on separate pages.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research article boasts a nice scientific design due to the author's use of appropriate scientific parameters and statistical tools. Thus, this paper is robust from a scientific and technical perspective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References for this article that are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This article's language needs to be improved, and the author should use proper scientific terminology.	
Optional/General comments	the author should give length and weight of the fish photo (A total of 300 animals with an initial average weight of 11.3±0.32 g and an initial average length of 8.5±0.57 cm were distributed in a completely randomized design in 15 experimental units) and experimental set up. The photo should be in scientific manner.	

Comment [ak1]: italics

Comment [ak2]: was

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Y. Ananth Kumar
Department, University & Country	Arulmigu Pannirupidi Ayyan College of Arts and Science, India