

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121333</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of newer insecticide molecules against leaf hopper, <i>Amritodes atkinsoni</i> Leth. infesting mango ecosystem</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>It is an important for scientific community. Importance of characterized the available germplasm. Section of potential germplasm for breeding purpose.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Its fine for me</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Please add some information on objective or problems , add more information on results.....it looks to shaort.and same in conclusion.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript looks appropriate, but please fallow the journal guidelines while writing the subsections. Please add the missing information, as: acknowledgements and funding sources, ethical approval, competing interests, etc. in the article.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is scientifically correct, but needs some minor correction/revision on it.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>References are sufficient, but need to replace some references (old references) with current references/publications, if possible. Please fallow the journal guidelines/format while referencing.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It need some improvement.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It looks ok. There is a need to add some more information in discussion part of the manuscript. Please, follow the referencing style of the journal. References style should be consistent. Also, revisit the manuscript and made necessary correction for improving the sentences. Please fallow the journal guidelines/format.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anil Pokhrel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal</b>