

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121238
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of chemical, organic and biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is interesting because it provides a solution to the misuse of chemicals in organic and environmentally friendly fertilizer products.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title has been revised as follows Effet of chemical, organic and biofertilizers on growth and yield of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is comprehensive but lacks a conclusion, it will be good to make the conclusion which is the 3rd part of a summary	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript contains all parts of an article but I suggest that authors separate the results from the discussion. By doing so, they will be able to discuss better because the discussion is not done well. Also the methodology lacks precision in statistical tests, the material lacks a map of the study area. Some results could be put together given that it was T4 that was effective for virtually all parameters studied.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript lacks precision on the static analyzes carried out from the methodology The results presentation tables must be reviewed	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are more or less recent. References must be made with a tool like Zotero	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The methodology must be completed and the results must be separated from the results if we want to have a good discussion for the case of this study. The analyzes must be specified to facilitate the reading of the statistical analysis tables. The conclusion must be completed.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tokore Orou Mere Sabi Bira Joseph
Department, University & Country	University of Parakou, Benin