

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJANR_119059</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Paradigm shift in Membranous nephropathy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>While it is true that there are few places that have the resources to study new MN-associated antigens, the title does not change any paradigm. I suggest evaluating focusing the titer on the antigen studied.</b> <b>It's a good topic and has potential.</b></p> <p><i>"It can be safely concluded that pts. With NELL1 deposit do not seem to be at a higher risk for malignancies". I can suggest the authors change that sentence and statement, since it is a small sample of 7 patients and there are other studies that differ in that statement.</i></p> <p>The methodology can improve, it is very concise. Authors can describe the inclusion and exclusion criteria in a paragraph so as not to interrupt reading with bullets.</p> <p><b>Evaluating NELL-1 positive patients, I would not conclude that they are resistant to treatment. Two of these patients have creatinine greater than 2 mg/dl. Furthermore, the authors have not commented on whether they used steroids, any other medication, the degree of proteinuria in each case, and the doses of rituximab.</b> <b>To safely conclude that the NELL-1 antigen is not associated with malignancies, one would have to describe how long the patients were followed and probably use more cases, if they have the opportunity and the means, since it is an antigen that is in study.</b></p> <p>Ahmad SB, Appel GB. Antigens, antibodies, and membranous nephropathy: a decade of progress. <i>Kidney International</i>. 2020 Jan 1;97(1):29–31. Authors of this publication stated that... "whether NELL-1 is truly a primary MN etiology or in some populations related to a malignant tumor remains to be determined."</p> <p><b>The authors could use more references to have more information.</b> Devaraju, P. K., Seshadri, J., Balasubramanian, C. M., Kurien, A. A., Senthilkumaran, G., Rajarathinam, V. D., Jibia, V. S., Murugesan, V., Lamech, T. M., Thanigachalam, D., Ramanathan, S., Alavudeen, S. S., Dakshinamoorthy, S., Mookaiah, S., &amp; Gopalakrishnan, N. (2024). Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use and Glomerular Disease: A Contemporary Case Series. <i>Kidney medicine</i>, 6(6), 100827. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xkme.2024.100827">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xkme.2024.100827</a></p> <p>Hu X, Wang G, Cheng H. Specific antigens in malignancy-associated membranous nephropathy. <i>Front Med (Lausanne)</i>. 2024 Apr 15;11:1368457. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1368457. PMID: 38686366; PMCID: PMC11056512.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The document needs checking for spelling and punctuation marks.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karen Courville</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto De Ciencias Medicas, Panama</b>