

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_121517
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDISATION AND NUTRITIONAL EVALUATION OF MINOR MILLET BASED INSTANT UPMA MIXES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Millet based foods gaining worldwide popularity due to their abundant source of nutritional content. Nowadays, Lifestyle of people also need a concentrate source of nutrients which fulfil the daily recommended dose of nutrients. This research might helpful for mal-nutritional population and getting attention to reaching nutritional food security throughout the nation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Explore millet based food products which is currently domestically produced and further their nutritional content can be analysed. Look this title also "Acceptability of minor based instant upma mixes and evaluation of their nutritional content with storage studies"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Add further points such as best sensory sample, maximum and min value of proximate data, minerals & antioxidants etc. Microbial study also included in brief.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes All section found in appropriate manner but formulas and key principles and theories also included in material method section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Found scientifically robust because research is in line with current situation as people need an instant type food which can be easily prepared and shelf life also extended. Further millet based study also important in current era as we recently celebrated international year of millet. Since, Millet are a concentrate source of nutrients and this study fulfil the research gap. Go ahead with some other millet based instant mixes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Found article suitable for scholarly communications. Please arrange in alphabetical sequence.	
Optional/General comments	Article is scientifically good and acceptable. In Conclusion section, Add your research study conclusion which describe the study in brief. Also included suggestions for further work.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	College of Dairy and Food Technology, Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India