

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_122068
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Partial characterization of 11-octadecenoic acid methyl ester and bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate from <i>Celtis integrifolia</i> Lam
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	11-octadecenoic acid methyl ester and bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate are antimicrobial compounds against <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Shigella dysenteriae</i> which are microbes that cause diarrhea. Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate is an antimutagenic agent and can therefore be used as a potential chemopreventive agent. <i>Celtis integrifolia</i> can be a candidate plant for anti-cancer drugs and antibiotics. This study is important scientifically and confirms its beneficial effect in traditional medicine.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and comprehensive.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It's also well structured. Some parts need to be reworded.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically correct. The experimental approach is proper. The results are well discussed. Furthermore, the study is faultless and logical.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is appropriate and well formulated	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kporvie Atsu Kodjo George
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo