

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122114
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardizing dehydration technique for <i>Gomphrena globose</i> flower Suggested New Title: Advanced dehydration techniques standardized for flowers of <i>Gomphrena globosa</i> L.
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I suggest esteemed Editor that publish this manuscript as authors have standardized the advanced dehydration techniques for the selected plant species and it will boost the economy. I congratulate and appreciate the efforts of authors for doing excellent piece of research work. Presentation of the manuscript is excellent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Standardizing dehydration technique for Gomphrena globose flower Suggested New Title: Advanced dehydration techniques standardized for flowers of Gomphrena globosa L.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, ethically and technically sound as it is directly related with the value addition of the selected plant species. The present study will boost the economy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is suitable	
Optional/General comments	Excellent piece of work. Presentation of the manuscript is good. Techniques Standardized are economically important.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gowhar Ahmad Shapoo
Department, University & Country	University of Kashmir, India