

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_122112</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening Urban Tree Species for Air Quality Enhancement – A review</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a valuable paper with important information. The use of plants as environmental remediators is not well investigated. This paper is a good, worthwhile summary of this topic, especially for India.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	At the end of the abstract, state the purpose of the paper (summary of plants acting as remediators).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. However, some sections need to be broken up into separate paragraphs. Some paragraphs are too long. I would include some graphics as well.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has done a good job in detailing the effects of atmospheric pollution and methods to combat it. Extensive information regarding specific pollutants are helpful as well. The author does great work in referencing previous work related to the topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I would add two or three recent references (from 2020 to the present).	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a clear and concise manuscript. With some paragraph reformatting and graphics, it would be ready for publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Burke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Arkansas, United States of America</b>